

## **REMARKS**

The Examiner has required a new title that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. The title has been amended to comply with this requirement.

Claims 1, 7 and 13 were rejected under 37 CFR 1.75 (a) for failing to particularly to point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention.

The corrections suggested by the Examiner have been made except that in claims 1, 7 and 13, the Examiner alleges that there is no connection between the two occurrences of the “Coefficient bit modeling means” function or step and the “Coefficient bit modeling means” function or step in two separate places in those claims. The second occurrence of the “Coefficient bit modeling means” phrase in all three claims has been deleted so that the “Coefficient bit modeling means” function or step comprises all the original elements provided in those claims. This is supported in the specification on page 8, line 7, to page 10, line 4.

On page 3, of the Office Action, the Examiner has made a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter.

Applicant hereby acknowledges the Examiner’s Reasons for Allowance. Applicant respectfully notes that there may be additional reasons for allowance that have not been specifically cited, and which may apply to various of the allowed claims, in addition to or instead of the cited Reasons. Applicant respectfully suggests that notwithstanding the Examiner’s Reasons for Allowance, it is believed that each of the allowed claims is patentable in its own right and/or for other reasons raised during the prosecution and/or explained in the specification of this application.

To the extent that any statements regarding patentability of any claims allowed by the Examiner made by the Applicant or the Examiner in any document filed in this application are

inconsistent with or not included in the Examiner's Reasons for Allowance, they are incorporated by reference herein.


**CLOSING**

An earnest effort has been made to be fully responsive to the Examiner's objections. In view of the above amendments and remarks, it is believed that independent claims 1, 7, and 13 are in condition for allowance, as well as those claims dependent therefrom. Passage of this case to allowance is earnestly solicited.

However, if for any reason the Examiner should consider this application not to be in condition for allowance, he is respectfully requested to telephone the undersigned attorney at the number listed below prior to issuing a further Action.

Any fee due with this paper, not fully covered by an enclosed check, may be charged on Deposit Account 50-1290.

Respectfully submitted,

  
Michael I. Markowitz  
Reg. No. 30,659

Enclosure: Amended Figs. 6, 11

**CUSTOMER NO.: 026304**

Phone No.: (212) 940-8687

Fax No.: (212) 940-8986/7

**ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.: NEYM 20.501**

MIM: rm